

White House Issues Regulatory Freeze with Minimal Impact on Education Rules

January 26, 2017

Federal agencies are required to take several steps to allow certain regulations to be reviewed and approved by a department or agency head appointed by President Trump prior to implementation. First, all new regulations must be reviewed and approved by a department head before publication in the Federal Register. In addition, all new regulations sent for publication in the Federal Register under the prior administration but not yet published must be immediately withdrawn for review and approval. This memorandum is consistent with those from prior administrations. See, for example, memos from the [Clinton Administration](#), [G.W. Bush Administration](#) and [Obama Administration](#).

Regulations published in the Federal Register before January 20 but not yet in effect will have their effective date temporarily postponed by 60 days (starting January 20) to allow time to review questions of fact, law and policy. The White House also suggests proposing an additional notice-and-comment rulemaking during the delay.

This freeze will likely not significantly impact the Department of Education's recent major rulemakings. The Gainful Employment rule and related guidance are already in effect, and therefore not subject to this freeze. Similarly, the Department's procedural Borrower Defense to Repayment (BDTR) rule took effective immediately upon publication last week. Though both the full package of BDTR rules and the State Authorization for Distance Education rules were published in 2016, the rules are not yet in effect because of the Department's Master Calendar requirement. Both rules have effective dates more than 60 days in the future. Thus, even if these rules could fall within the freeze order, the 60-day effective date postponement will not significantly impact their implementation.

Note also that the order appears to capture other guidance documents, such as Dear Colleague Letters. Such guidance will therefore need sign off from a department head appointed by the President before it can be implemented.

The fact that BDTR, Gainful Employment and other key rulemakings are not significantly impacted by this regulatory freeze does not prohibit Congress or the Trump Administration from taking other actions to review, modify or eliminate them.

Vince Sampson focuses on helping clients predict, navigate and understand the increasingly complex involvement of federal agencies and Congress.

Nancy Anderson focuses on regulatory issues affecting higher education institutions, including compliance with federal, state and accrediting agency requirements.

This content is provided for general informational purposes only, and your access or use of the content does not create an attorney-client relationship between you or your organization and Cooley LLP, Cooley (UK) LLP, or any other affiliated practice or entity (collectively referred to as "Cooley"). By accessing this content, you agree that the information provided does not constitute legal or other professional advice. This content is not a substitute for obtaining legal advice from a qualified attorney licensed in your jurisdiction, and you should not act or refrain from acting based on this content. This content may be changed without notice. It is not guaranteed to be complete, correct or up to date, and it may not reflect the most current legal developments. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Do not send any confidential information to Cooley, as we do not have any duty to keep any information you provide to us confidential. This content may have been generated with the assistance of artificial intelligence (AI) in accordance with our [AI Principles](#), may be considered Attorney Advertising and is subject to our [legal notices](#). Copyright © 2026